



 $\begin{tabular}{ll} FORM \\ \textit{(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)} \end{tabular}$ 

Application Number	09/809,043	
Filing Date	03/16/2001	
First Named Inventor	Nobuo AOI	
Group Art Unit	2823	
Examiner Name	Fernando L. Toledo	
Attorney Docket Number	740910 524	

Total Number of Pages in This S	Submission	Attomey Docket Number	740819-524		
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)					
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached Amendment / Reply After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Reques Information Disclosure States Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Response to Missing Part under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.5	est ment ts 53	required or credit any overp above identified docket num RE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, O Studebaker, Reg. No. 32,815 body LLP			
Signature	Z_	on, D.C. 20004-2128			
Date	June 17, 2004				
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR 1.8(a)]  I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:  deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at (703)					
Date			Signature		
		Туре	ed or printed name		

Page 1

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of	)	
Nobuo AOI	)	Group Art Unit: 2823
Serial No. 09/809,043 🗽 🞉	)	Examiner: Fernando L. Toledo
Filed: 03/16/2001	)	
For: INTERLAYER DIELECTRIC FILM,	)	Date: June 17, 2004
METHOD FOR FORMING THE SAME	)	
AND INTERCONNECTION	j	

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION/ELECTION REQUIREMENT

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated May 28, 2004, Applicant provides the following election.

Applicant hereby elects Species I pertaining to embodiment 1 wherein claims 7, 19, 20, 23 and 25 are readable thereon. Furthermore, while the Examiner is of the position that claims 25-28 are generic to each species, it is believed that at least claims 9, 13 and 18 are generic to all species as well. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that at least claims 7, 9, 13, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23 and 25-28 are readable on the elected species.

Should the Examiner believe a conference would be of benefit in expediting the prosecution of the instant application, he is hereby invited to telephone counsel to arrange such a conference.

Respectfully submitted,

Donald R. Studebaker

Reg. No. 32,815

Nixon Peabody LLP 401 9<sup>th</sup> Street N.W. Suite 900 Washington, D. C. 20004

(202) 585-8000